UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,203	07/18/2006	Thomas Daniel	29827/42183	4892
** **	7590 06/04/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
	ACKER DRIVE	NGUYEN, VU ANH		
CHICAGO, IL	=	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/586,203	DANIEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Vu Nguyen	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviral (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second con	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	planation of			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 						
13. Other:	1 10/30/00) rapel 110(s)					
/David Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796	/Vu Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the Office action dated 02/10/2009 and because the arguments filed 05/29/2009 are not persuasive. Specifically, the applicant presents lengthy arguments tending to show that the prior art monomer solution is, allegedly, not supersatuarted. The examiner has provided ample reasons to show that, according to the applicant's own description of a supersaturated monomer solution in the specification, the prior art monomer solution is inherently supersaturated. The applicant also states that a concentration of 35-40 wt% of partially neutralized acrylic acid in the prior art reference is standard in the art and that such concentration is not a supersaturated concentration (p. 8, 4th paragraph). However, in the working examples in the instant application, the applicant discloses supersaturated solution of partially neutralized acrylic acid at a concentration of 40.68 wt% (See examples 1 and 2).